

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,378	04/01/2004	Andrej Astachow	071308.0536	071308.0536 8247	
31625	7590 09/29/2006		EXAMINER		
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. PATENT DEPARTMENT			HOGAN, JAMES SEAN		
98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500 AUSTIN, TX 78701-4039		0	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3752		

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/815,378	ASTACHOW ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James S. Hogan	3752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the period for reply expires	•	- Gmalin-tinbinb	:
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		RST REPLT WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be			the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		gected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-Ce	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	Illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.  The affidavit or other evidence failed to describe the showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or atta	ched.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Subejct matter added to claim 11, notably, the addition of the limitation "one of the recesses has a contour different than at least another recess" has not been presented in any prior version of the claims, and, even though supported by the Specification, would require further search.